# Cecilia Lucero Solorio Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year Published During 2016-17

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

# **About This School**

# Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

School Contact Information				
School Name	Cecilia Lucero Solorio Elementary School			
Street	15172 Walnut St.			
City, State, Zip	Fontana, CA 92336			
Phone Number	909.357.8691			
Principal	Carol Pilgren			
E-mail Address	carol_pilgren@etiwanda.org			
Web Site				
CDS Code	36677026117519			

District Contact Information				
District Name	Etiwanda School District			
Phone Number	909.899.2451			
Superintendent	Shawn Judson, Ed.D.			
E-mail Address	shawn_judson@etiwanda.org			
Web Site	www.etiwanda.org			

## School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

#### SCHOOL MISSION

The students, staff, and parents of Cecilia Lucero Solorio Elementary School aim to instill a love of learning and appreciation of school, to build a foundation for educational success and to promote the self-esteem of each student. We aim to provide all students with a positive, safe and challenging learning environment that empowers them to become responsible and productive citizens. All students have potential and are winners! We expect success.

#### **DISTRICT & SCHOOL PROFILE**

Etiwanda School District serves over 14,000 TK-8 students residing in the cities of Rancho Cucamonga, Fontana, Alta Loma, and Etiwanda. The district currently operates twelve TK-5 elementary schools and four middle schools (grades 6-8) and a Community Day School. Etiwanda's graduating eighth grade students are served by Chaffey Joint Union High School District for grades 9-12. Home schooling program, preschool program, and day care are provided at some schools within the district. More information about these programs may be acquired through the district's web site or by contacting the district office at (909) 899-2451. The district's commitment to excellence is achieved through a team of professionals dedicated to delivering a challenging, high quality educational program. Etiwanda School District appreciates the outstanding reputation it has achieved in local and neighboring communities. Consistent success in meeting student performance goals is directly attributed to the district's energetic teaching staff and strong parent and community support.

Cecilia L. Solorio Elementary is a neighborhood school in a planned community located in the eastern region of the district's boundaries. 940 TK-5 students residing in the city of Fontana were enrolled.

# Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	151
Grade 1	124
Grade 2	145
Grade 3	151
Grade 4	172
Grade 5	150
Total Enrollment	893

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	11.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	0
Asian	7.8
Filipino	5.8
Hispanic or Latino	53.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	17.7
Two or More Races	2.6
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	42.3
English Learners	13.9
Students with Disabilities	15.7
Foster Youth	1.2

# A. Conditions of Learning

# **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

# **Teacher Credentials**

T		District		
Teachers	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2016-17
With Full Credential	42	40	43	
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	

# **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

# Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects					
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers				
This School	100.0	0.0				
All Schools in District	100.0	0.0				
High-Poverty Schools in District	100.0	0.0				
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100.0	0.0				

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

<sup>\*</sup> Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

# Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17) Year and month in which data were collected: September 2015

All textbooks used in the core curriculum throughout the Etiwanda School District are aligned to the California Content Standards and Frameworks. Instructional materials for grades K-8 are selected from the state's most recent list of standards-based materials and adopted by the State Board of Education. The district follows the State Board of Education's six-year adoption cycle for core content materials. District textbook review and adoption activities occur the year following the state's adoption.

On September 15, 2016, the Etiwanda School District's Board of Trustees held a public hearing to certify the extent to which textbooks and instructional materials have been provided to students. The Board of Trustees adopted Resolution No. 1617-29 which certifies as required by Education Code §60119 (1) that each pupil has a textbook or instructional materials, or both, to use in class and to take home, (2) that sufficient textbooks and instructional materials were provided to each student, including English learners, that are aligned to the academic content standards and consistent with the cycles and content of the curriculum frameworks in math, science, history-social science, and English/language arts, including the English language development component of an adopted program, and (3) sufficient textbooks or instructional materials were provided to each pupil enrolled in foreign language or health classes. Prior to adoption, parents may preview recommended instructional materials at the district office for a period of 30 days.

Subject	Subject Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption		Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	McGraw Hill, Wonders (K - 5th grade)	Yes	0%
Mathematics	Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, California GO MATH! (K - 5th grade)	Yes	0%
Science	Houghton Mifflin, California Science (K – 5th grade)	Yes	0%
History-Social Science	Harcourt School Publishers, Reflections: California Series (K - 5th grade)	Yes	0%

# School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Cecilia L. Solorio Elementary provides a safe, clean environment for learning through proper facilities maintenance and campus supervision. Original school buildings were constructed in 2000; ongoing maintenance ensures school facilities are kept safe, in good working condition, and continue to provide adequate space for students and staff.

Cecilia L. Solorio Elementary is proud of its high standards regarding campus maintenance and general housekeeping practices. Staff and students enjoy the benefits of having a well-maintained, beautiful campus.

School staff and the district's maintenance department work together to ensure playgrounds, classrooms, and campus grounds are well-maintained. Maintenance and Operations (M&O) employs a work order process enabling school staff to communicate unscheduled maintenance needs, urgent repairs, or special projects. The school secretary is responsible for preparing and submitting work orders to M&O for resolution. Emergency situations are given high priority and resolved immediately by site or district custodial staff.

The principal and day custodian communicate daily to address campus maintenance and safety concerns. Etiwanda School District's director of maintenance and operations (M&O) meets with the principal once a month to discuss maintenance-related issues associated with campus upkeep, safety, classroom space, equipment, upcoming events, work orders (submitted and outstanding), and special requests. The director of M&O then meets with the custodian to conduct a comprehensive inspection of the school site; a summary of the inspection findings is forwarded to the principal.

Schools are required by state law to conduct a formal inspection annually of designated areas and systems and then prepare a report of the current condition of the facilities. The School Site Inspection table illustrated in this report identifies the state-required inspection areas and discloses the operational status in each of those areas.

Cecilia L. Solorio Elementary's custodial staff are qualified and equipped to handle routine cleaning needs, general maintenance, and minor repairs. M&O provides formal training twice a year on the proper use of equipment, cleaning procedures, and chemical usage. One full-time day custodian is responsible for keeping the campus clean and fully operational. The custodian's daily routine includes cleaning the staff lounge, stocking student restrooms, and cleaning the cafeteria after meals are served. The custodian checks restrooms twice a day as a proactive measure in keeping facilities stocked, safe, and sanitary. Every morning before school begins, the day custodian inspects school facilities for safety hazards and maintenance issues that require attention before staff and students arrive on campus. Any graffiti or signs of vandalism are removed immediately.

A professional janitorial service is responsible for thoroughly cleaning classrooms and restrooms during the evenings. The district office dispatches a team of grounds keepers each week to maintain Cecilia L. Solorio Elementary's landscaping and irrigation systems.

Etiwanda School District participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program which provides dollar-for-dollar matching funds to assist school districts with major repairs or replacement of existing school building components; these typically include roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior/exterior painting, and floor systems.

# School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: May 2016								
	Repair Status			Repair Needed and				
System Inspected	Good Fair Poor		Poor	Action Taken or Planned				
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х							
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х			Replaced transition strips in doorways. Replaced ceiling tiles. Repaired carpet seam.				
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х							
Electrical: Electrical	Х			Replaced light bulbs.				
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х							
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х							
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х							
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х			Repaired concrete on playground.				

# **Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)**

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: May 2016							
0	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor			
Overall Rating		X					

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

# **State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)						
Subject	School		District		State		
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	
English Language Arts/Literacy	50	53	58	64	44	48	
Mathematics	47	44	52	55	34	36	

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# **CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group**

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students		
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded	
All Students	3	158	150	94.9	46.0	
	4	176	173	98.3	61.9	
	5	152	150	98.7	50.0	
Male	3	85	80	94.1	41.3	
	4	103	100	97.1	59.0	
	5	88	87	98.9	46.0	
Female	3	73	70	95.9	51.4	
	4	73	73	100.0	65.8	
	5	64	63	98.4	55.6	
Black or African American	3	19	19	100.0	21.1	
	4	24	24	100.0	58.3	
	5	15	15	100.0	40.0	
Asian	3	16	16	100.0	62.5	
	5	13	13	100.0	69.2	
Filipino	4	12	12	100.0	91.7	
Hispanic or Latino	3	83	77	92.8	41.6	
	4	92	90	97.8	53.3	
	5	86	86	100.0	39.5	

		Number o	f Students	Percent	of Students
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
White	3	28	26	92.9	53.9
	4	32	31	96.9	74.2
	5	24	23	95.8	73.9
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	77	70	90.9	35.7
	4	75	73	97.3	48.0
	5	69	68	98.5	44.1
English Learners	3	26	25	96.2	28.0
	4	20	20	100.0	55.0
	5	17	16	94.1	12.5
Students with Disabilities	3	36	32	88.9	18.8
	4	34	31	91.2	22.6
	5	40	39	97.5	10.3

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

		Number o	f Students	Percent of Students		
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded	
All Students	3	158	151	95.6	50.3	
	4	176	173	98.3	47.4	
	5	152	151	99.3	34.4	
Male	3	85	81	95.3	49.4	
	4	103	100	97.1	48.0	
	5	88	88	100.0	35.2	
Female	3	73	70	95.9	51.4	
	4	73	73	100.0	46.6	
	5	64	63	98.4	33.3	
Black or African American	3	19	19	100.0	26.3	
	4	24	24	100.0	50.0	
	5	15	15	100.0	20.0	
Asian	3	16	16	100.0	68.8	
	5	13	13	100.0	53.9	
Filipino	4	12	12	100.0	66.7	

		Number o	f Students	Percent	of Students
Student Group	Grade	Enrolled	Tested	Tested	Standard Met or Exceeded
Hispanic or Latino	3	83	77	92.8	45.5
	4	92	90	97.8	36.7
	5	86	86	100.0	26.7
White	3	28	27	96.4	59.3
	4	32	31	96.9	67.7
	5	24	24	100.0	50.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	3	77	71	92.2	33.8
	4	75	73	97.3	35.6
	5	69	69	100.0	21.7
English Learners	3	26	26	100.0	34.6
	4	20	20	100.0	30.0
	5	17	17	100.0	5.9
Students with Disabilities	3	36	32	88.9	31.3
	4	34	31	91.2	9.7
	5	40	39	97.5	2.6

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

# **CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students**

				Students S ting or exce	_				
Subject		School		District			State		
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	72	59	71	79	79	80	60	56	54

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight, and Ten (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	# of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students with Valid Scores	% of Students Proficient or Advanced
All Students	152	152	100.0	71.1
Male	88	88	100.0	75.0
Female	64	64	100.0	65.6
Black or African American	15	15	100.0	73.3
Asian	13	13	100.0	84.6
Hispanic or Latino	86	86	100.0	61.6
White	24	24	100.0	87.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	69	69	100.0	62.3
English Learners	17	17	100.0	52.9
Students with Disabilities	40	40	100.0	67.5

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Other Pupil Outcomes State Priority (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

#### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards							
Level	Four of Six Standards	Four of Six Standards Five of Six Standards Six of Six Standards						
5	16.6	27.8	33.8					

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# C. Engagement

# **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

# Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Parents are encouraged to be involved in their child's learning process by attending school events, serving on a school committee, and volunteering at the school. The staff welcomes parents' assistance in the classroom, library, office and with field trips. Back to School Night, Open House, Tiger Choir performances, ShowStopper performances, talent shows, Tiger Bite Nights, Harvest Festival, GATE parent meetings, parent workshops, and Quakes baseball games provide many opportunities for parents to interact with school staff while supporting their child's efforts. The School Site Council, English Language Advisory Council, and Parent Teacher Association (PTA) enable parents to provide oversight and input on the school budget, activities, and educational programs. Parents who would like to get more involved or volunteer their time may contact the school office at (909) 357-8691.

School-to-home communication takes place in a variety of formats. The school issues newsletters and flyers to keep parents informed on school activities, programs, and student support strategies. The PTA publishes flyers and newsletters to inform parents on PTA meeting dates, upcoming events, and fundraising projects. The school's web site features general information about the school and its activities, schedules, programs, forms, and staff. Parents may access Aeries.net to view resources that support student learning, study skills, research, and enrichment. The district maintains an online mailing list for parents who wish to receive e-mail announcements and messages related to Cecilia L. Solorio Elementary or the district. The school marquee displays important messages, reminders, and announcements. The school uses an auto-dialer system to communicate important school information and event opportunities to Solorio families. Teachers encourage parents to use e-mail as well as telephone calls to acquire or share information about their student. School communications are available in Spanish upon request.

# **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

#### **Suspensions and Expulsions**

School				District		State			
Rate	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Suspensions	0.8	0.2	0.0	1.5	0.8	0.7	4.4	3.8	3.7
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

#### School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

The Comprehensive School Site Safety Plan was originally developed for Cecilia L. Solorio Elementary in collaboration with local agencies and district administration to fulfill Senate Bill 187 requirements. Components of this plan include child abuse reporting procedures, teacher notification of dangerous pupil procedures, disaster response procedures, procedures for safe arrival and departure from school, sexual harassment policy, and dress code policy. Cecilia L. Solorio Elementary's most current school safety plan was reviewed, updated, and shared with school staff in December 2015.

# D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Indicator	School	District					
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI					
First Year of Program Improvement		2012-2013					
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 1					
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	1					
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	25.0					

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

**Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)** 

		201	3-14		2014-15			2015-16				
Grade	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
K	27	1	4		25	1	4		25	1	4	
1	23		6		21	2	5		21	2	5	
2	28		6		27		5		27		5	
3	25	2	4		23	1	7		23	1	7	
4	29		5		27		5		27		5	
5	27	1	5		32		5		32		5	
Other					10	1			10	1		

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

# Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.31	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	.06	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1	N/A
Psychologist	.8	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	.4	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	N/A
Resource Specialist	2.5	N/A
Other	0	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

# Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

		Expenditures Per Pupil				
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary		
School Site	392.10	114.24	277.85	\$63,051.52		
District	N/A	N/A	\$5,344	\$77,192		
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-94.8	-18.3		
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,677	\$75,137		
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-95.1	-16.1		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

<sup>\*</sup>One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

#### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Please note - Salaries are paid by the district on behalf of the school sites. School expenditures do not include contract salaries.

In addition to general fund state funding, Etiwanda School District receives state and federal categorical funding for special programs. For the 2015-2016 school year, the district received approximately \$1,272 per student in federal, state, and local aid for the following categorical, special education, and support programs:

- Class Size Reduction
- Special Education
- Title I (For specific sites)

# Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$46,622	\$44,573
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$72,557	\$72,868
Highest Teacher Salary	\$98,339	\$92,972
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$117,443	\$116,229
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$119,350	\$119,596
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$121,883
Superintendent Salary	\$153,285	\$201,784
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	45%	39%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

#### **Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

All training and curriculum development at the Etiwanda School District is aligned to student assessment results, NCLB requirements, and state content standards.

During the 2015-16 school year, our staff participated in three to four district sponsored professional development and planning days. Staff participated in each of the professional development opportunities through all day sessions.

Training sessions offered throughout the school year included:

- Common Core State Standards-ELA and Math (SBAC/Scope & Sequence, practices, and approaches)
- Engaging in Texts
- Writing to Communicate
- Mathematical Concepts and Problem Solving
- Smarter Balanced Administration, Item Specs, Accommodations and Supports
- Technology-Devices: Chromebook and iPad, math program online resources, Google Applications for Educators, Learning.com,
   Illuminate
- CAASSP system and tools
- Classroom Management (New Teachers and Induction)
- English Language Learners (proficiency expectations, standards, and instruction)
- Site Administrators (SBAC, new academic standards, Kagan, Induction, LCFF/LCAP)
- Substitute Teacher Training
- Behavior Management (SPED)-(New Teachers and Induction)
- Special Education-policy, procedures, accountability
- Effective IEP Writing
- Kagan Cooperative Learning
- i-Ready Diagnostic Assessments
- Towards Equity (Induction)
- Special Populations (Induction-General Education)

- Project Read
- Step Up to Writing
- District Adopted English Language Arts Publisher Training

Etiwanda School District supports school administrators, new teachers, veteran teachers, substitute teachers, and instructional assistants in developing their teaching skills. Since the 2012-2013 school year, the primary/major areas of focus for staff development were aligned to the new academic standards (Common Core State Standards), 21st Century learning and teaching, technology, Universal Access, and meeting the needs of special populations and our English learners. Areas selected are based on state and local policies and student need. In addition to the above, data analysis of state summative assessments, local diagnostics, as well as formative classroom assessments determine professional development focus areas. Professional development is delivered through multiple methods: individual support, grade level teams, one-day during the work day sessions (grade level or grade span specific), conference style self-selected topics, as well as outside vendor sessions, based on site needs.

All teachers are supported during this implementation period through multiple district sponsored professional development opportunities such as publisher sessions, district professional development sessions, district/site representative articulation, grade level collaboration, and site staff meetings. In addition to the above, teachers may seek in-class support from professional development providers. All staff members are encouraged to attend additional, non-district-sponsored workshops and conferences. New teachers, experienced teachers, TOSAs, teacher leaders, administrators, and support staff are encouraged to participate in workshops sponsored by the San Bernardino County Superintendent of Schools.

New teachers are enrolled into the ESD Induction Program, a state-sponsored program designed for first- and second-year preliminary credentialed teachers. Comprehensive skills enhancement training is provided over a two year period. Candidates attend specific sessions related to implementation of district technologies, assessments, and scope and sequences. In addition, new teachers attend (prior to the start of the school year) sessions on equity, special populations, classroom management, and pedagogical approaches and practices. Monthly Induction support meetings focus on implementation and growth within the California Standards for the Teaching Profession.

Teachers experiencing difficulty or in need of improvement may voluntarily select or be required to participate in the Peer Assistance and Review process. A consulting support teacher is assigned to the teacher. The support teacher meets on a regular basis with the teacher, observes the classroom, and makes suggestions for staff development.

Etiwanda's Professional Development Providers/Teachers on Special Assignment (TOSA) further develop their capacity to instruct and support teachers through professional reading, outside vendor conference attendance, and professional collaborative workshops on supporting teachers, pedagogy, and student achievement.

Paraprofessionals or classified employees are prepared to work in their role through yearly staff notification sessions (online and inperson) as well as trained to work with colleagues and students through staff development sessions and meetings. They may also receive additional job-related training form vendors, department supervisors, and district representatives. In addition, there are selected workshops offered through West End SELPA for both certificated and classified personnel.

Non-instructional support staff is prepared to work in their role through yearly staff notification sessions (online and in-person) and ongoing staff development during the day meetings/sessions around topics related to state and local policies, procedures, and practices.